Cahill Gordon & Reindel Llp

EIGHTY PINE STREET New York, NY 10005-1702

FLOYD ABRAMS L. HOWARD ADAMS ROBERT A. ALESSI HELENE R BANKS LANDIS C. BEST SUSAN BUCKLEY KEVIN J. BURKE JAMES J. CLARK BENJAMIN J. COHEN CHRISTOPHER T. COX STUART G. DOWNING ADAM M. DWORKIN JENNIFER B. EZRING PATRICIA FARREN JOAN MURTAGH FRANKFI JONATHAN J. FRANKEL

WILLIAM B. GANNETT CHARLES A. GILMAN STEPHEN A. GREENE ROBERT M. HALLMAN WILLIAM M. HARTNETT CRAIG M. HOROWITZ DOUGLAS S. HOROWITZ DAVID G. JANUSZEWSKI ELAI KATZ THOMAS J. KAVALER BRIAN S. KELLEHER DAVID N. KELLEY CHÉRIE R. KISER* EDWARD P. KRUGMAN JOEL KURTZBERG ALIZA R. LEVINE

TELEPHONE: (212) 701-3000 FACSIMILE: (212) 269-5420

1990 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1181 (202) 862-8900 FAX: (202) 862-8958

AUGUSTINE HOUSE 6A AUSTIN FRIARS LONDON, ENGLAND EC2N 2HA (OII) 44.20.7920.9800 FAX: (OII) 44.20.7920.9825

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER (212) 701-3406

MICHAEL MACRIS ANN S. MAKICH JONATHAN I. MARK BRIAN T. MARKLEY GERARD M. MEISTRELL WILLIAM J. MILLER ATHY A. MOBILIA NOAH B. NEWITZ MICHAEL J. OHLER DAVID R. OWEN JOHN PAPACHRISTOS LUIS R. PENALVER DEAN RINGEL JAMES ROBINSON THORN ROSENTHAL TAMMY L. ROY JONATHAN A. SCHAFFZIN JOHN SCHUSTER

M. PAULEY
MICHAELL A. SHERMAN
DARREN SILVER
HOWARD G. SLOANE
SUSANNA M. SUH
ANTHONY K. TAMA
JONATHAN D. THIER
JOHN A. TRIPODORO
GLENN J. WALDRIP, JR.
MICHAEL B. WEISS
S. PENNY WINDLE
COREY WRIGHT
DANIEL J. ZUBKOFF
ADAM ZUROFSKY

JUERS OF

*ADMITTED IN DC ONLY

August 30, 2011

DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC#:
DATE FILED: 14/11

Re: Mazaya Trading Co. SARL v. VCS Group LLC, 11 Civ 3195
(WHP)

Dear Judge Pauley:

I have recently been engaged as counsel for the defendant herein and I write with regard to Your Honor's Order For Initial Pretrial Conference filed on June 7, 2011, which sets the Initial Pretrial Conference in this matter for September 16, 2011 at 12:45 P.M.

Although the docket sheet reflects the filing of the initial complaint in this action on May 11, 2011, that complaint was never served on my client. Instead, an amended complaint was filed on August 22, 2011 and was served on my client in Greenwich, CT on August 23, 2011 together with the Summons issued on March 11, 2011. I was retained the next day.

I have today communicated with counsel for plaintiff herein and they have very courteously agreed to extend defendant's time to respond to the amended complaint by a period of 30 days, which now makes our response due on October 7, 2011. I note that Rule 2(B) of Your Honor's Individual Practice Rules states that the Court will schedule an Initial Pretrial Conference within three months of the filing of the complaint. Under the circumstances set forth herein, I respectfully request that the Court consider utilizing the date of the filing of the amended complaint as the trigger for that process, which would put the pre-trial conference somewhere in late November, 2011. This will give the parties an ample opportunity meet and confer to discuss the various things set forth in your Order For Initial Pretrial Conference including, without limitation, the possibility of settlement, contemplated motions, stipulating facts, narrowing issues, fixing the discovery schedule, and setting a date for trial, as well as preparing the mandatory written report to the Court to be filed seven days before the conference. While I am sure plaintiff's counsel are fully prepared for these tasks at the present time, I am not because, as noted above, I have just been retained and have yet to immerse myself in the facts underlying this case.

pretrial conference is adjourned until December 2, 2011 at 10:30 am.

SO ORDERED:

WILLIAM H. PAULEY III U.S.D.J.

9/6/11

-2-

Accordingly, I respectfully request that the date of the Initial Pretrial Conference be adjourned as set forth above. Pursuant to Rule 1(E) of Your Honor's rules, I recite the following:

- 1. The original date set by the Court for the Initial Pretrial Conference is September 16, 2011
- 2. The reason for the request is, as set forth above, that defense counsel has just been retained promptly upon defendant first being served and needs to familiarize himself with the underlying facts
 - 3. There has been no prior request for any similar relief
 - 4. Counsel for plaintiff graciously consents
- 5. The requested adjournment will not affect any other scheduled date, and thus there is no need for a proposed Revised Scheduling Order to be attached hereto
- 6. This request is being made so as to be received in Chambers not less than two business days before the scheduled time (*viz.*, September 16, 2011).

Respectfully submitted

Thomas J. Kavaler

Honorable William H. Pauley, III United States District Judge Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street - Room 2210 New York, New York 10007

cc: Peter R. Porcino, Esq. Eric J. Shimanoff, Esq.